Characterizing the electronic and optical properties of semiconductors

Delphine LAGARDE LPCNO Toulouse



MATEPI Summer School Porquerolles - June 2025



LPCNO lab – OPTO team

Laser





Cryostat 4K



Optics



Objective

positioners

Sample

Nano-

Magnetic field







- Large variety of methods that exploit light-matter interactions across the entire electromagnetic spectrum
- This presentation aims to give an overview of some available techniques and their potential applications for electronic and optical characterization of semiconductor epitaxial layers or micro/nanostructures



Outline

Basics of SC physics

* SC band structure Density of states Doping

* Interaction with light

* Dimensionality

Optical characterization of SC structures

* Absorption

* Photoemission

* Reflectivity

* Photoluminescence (PL) - PL Eexcitation (PLE)

* Time-Resolved PL

- TR-Cathodoluminescence

Electric/Optical characterization of SC structures

* Electroluminescence (EL)* Photocurrent (PC)

Raman spectroscopy

Outline

Basics of SC physics

* SC band structure Density of states Doping

* Interaction with light

* Dimensionality

Optical characterization of SC structures

* Absorption

* Photoemission

* Reflectivity

* Photoluminescence (PL) - PL Eexcitation (PLE)

* Time-Resolved PL

- TR-Cathodoluminescence

Electric/Optical characterization of SC structures

* Electroluminescence (EL)* Photocurrent (PC)

Raman spectroscopy

Band structure



Electrons in atom

- Electrons can be described by Schrödinger equation
- Ground vs excited state
- Pauli exclusion principle

Electrons in interacting atoms

- Outer orbitals of the atoms overlap and interact strongly

Electrons in crystal

- Highest nearly-filled band : valence band
- Lowest nearly-empty band : conduction band

Band structure



- > metal: weakly bound electrons \rightarrow free mobile electrons
- ▶ insulator: every electrons bound to the lattice
- **>** semiconductor: some electrons can gain enough E to get into the conduction band

> Optical techniques

Electro-optical

Raman

Band structure

Crystal symmetry



lattice parameter

32 classes of crystal according to their point group symmetry (invariance by translations, rotations...)

Crystal symmetry will affect electronic wavefunctions and optical and transport properties

Crystal periodicity

Periodic arrangment of atoms in a crystal

periodic potential $V(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) = V(\vec{r})$ with \vec{R} reciprocal lattice vector

Wavefunctions of an electron in a crystal

Block's theorem

$$\psi_{n,\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = u_{n,k}e^{i\vec{k}.\vec{r}}$$

 \vec{k} : wave vector in reciprocal space (linked to crystal translation invariance) $u_{n,k}$ has the periodicity of the crystal lattice $u_{n,k}(\vec{r} + \vec{R}) = u_{n,k}(\vec{r})$



9

Band structure

Optical properties : consider only the band structure in a region <u>close to band extrema</u>, near \vec{k} =0, center of Brillouin zone



Si E_C E in eV Ev -10 U,K Σ Х L Λ Δ Silicon: E_g=1.1 eV **Indirect Bandgap**

Max of VB and min of CB occur at the same point of the first Brillouin zone → Strong interaction with light

Bandgap engineering



Density of states

for 3D crystal

CB

VB

$$\rho(E)_c = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E - E_c}$$
$$\rho(E)_v = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{E_v - E}$$

The density of states $\rho(E)$ is a completely different function of carrier energy for 3D bulk, 2D quantum wells or 0D quantum dots

Bulk (3-D)



Energy of States

Carrier density

Carrier density linked to probability of occupation of each electronic states at thermal equilibrium

$$n_0 = \int_{E_c}^{\infty} \rho_c(E) f(E) dE$$
$$p_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{E_v} \rho_v(E) [1 - f(E)] dE$$

Concentration of electrons/holes per unit volume:

$$n_0 = N_c e^{(E_F - E_c)/kT}$$

 $p_0 = N_v e^{(E_v - E_F)/kT}$





Summary



Raman

Defects and Doping in SC

n-doping



Concentration n=N_D density of dopants

Raman

Defects and Doping in SC

p-doping



Concentration $p=N_A$ density of dopants

Raman

Interaction of light with matter

Absorption



Incident photon

$$E = hv$$
$$K = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$$

* Energy conservation in the transition

$$E_c(k_f) = E_v(k_i) + hv$$

$$\Rightarrow hv = E_g + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m_c} + \frac{1}{m_v}\right)$$
Reduced effective mass $\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{m_c} + \frac{1}{m_v}$
Ex: GaAs: $m_c \sim 0.066m_0$; $m_v \sim 0.4m_0 \Rightarrow \mu \sim 0.057m_0 \sim m_v$

* Momentum conservation in the transition

 $\overrightarrow{k_f} = \overrightarrow{k_i} + \overrightarrow{K}$

Order of magnitude:

- Photons (UV visible near IR): $K=2\pi/\lambda \simeq 10^4$ to 10^6 cm⁻¹
- Electrons: in the Brillouin zone, k= $2\pi/a \simeq 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

Ex: GaAs: a~2.8 Å

K wave vector of photon negligible \rightarrow Vertical optical transitions

Only applies to direct bandgap SC

Optical transition (Absorption) Rate for a photon of energy $h\nu = E_c - E_v$ per time unit [s⁻¹]

$$R_{abs}(hv) = B_{vc} \cdot \rho_j(hv) \cdot f_v(E_v) \cdot (1 - f_c(E_c))$$

Einstein	Density of	Probability that	Probability that
coefficient	electronic	valence state	conduction state
	states at	is occupied	is empty
	energy hv		

Einstein coefficient

- * Calculated from perturbation theory: 2 discrete states 'perturbed' by a light wave
- * **Perturbation:** Interaction electron \Leftrightarrow e.m. wave $W(t) = -q\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)\hat{r}$ \hat{r} position operator Electric dipole Hamiltonian, see Cohen Tannoudji Ch. A_{XIII}

* Fermi's golden rule:

$$B_{vc} = \frac{\rho q^{2}}{2\hbar} \left| \left\langle Y_{c}(r) \middle| E \cdot \hat{r} \middle| Y_{v}(r) \right\rangle \right|^{2} \times \mathcal{O}(E_{c} - E_{v} - \hbar W)$$

Coupling of Ψ_{v} to Ψ_{c}
through $W(t)$

* **Dipole matrix element**: gives strength of light-matter interaction

Absorption

Direct Absorption

$$\alpha(hv) \approx A^* \cdot (hv - E_g)^{1/2}$$

$$h\nu = E_g + \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m_c} + \frac{1}{m_v} \right)$$





Absorption measurement



Absorption coeff measured by transmission of a thin platelet sample of thickness I

Beer's law $I(z) = I_0 e^{-\alpha z}$

Transmissivity of absorbing medium of thickness I

 $T = (1 - R)^2 e^{-\alpha l}$ and $T_{\text{measured}} = \frac{I_{w/Sample}}{I_{w/oSample}}$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{L} ln \left(\frac{I_{w/oSample}}{I_{w/Sample}} \right)$$

Requires transparent substrate Requires two collection paths Requires a reference

Electro-optical

Raman

Absorption measurement

Experimental setup



- Low excitation power
- Semiconductor choice:
 - imposes choice of white lamp, mirrors/lenses, grating and detector
- Temperature control: need for a cryostat
- Measure a reference

Cryostat



Spectrometer



UV: PM VIS: Si CCD or PD NIR: InGaAs PD

Electro-optical

Raman

Absorption measurement





Excitons



Excitons







Kazimierczuk*, et al.* Nature 514.7522, 343 (2014)

Dimensionality

Quantum wells / 2D



Optical transitions in 2D

Same approach as in 3D: time dependent perturbation theory

calculate absorption rate $R_{v,j \rightarrow c,i}^{abs}(hv)$ of photons of energy hv between heavy holes of band j in the VB \rightarrow electrons of band i in the CB using Fermi's golden rule:

 $R_{v,j \rightarrow c,i}^{abs}(hv) \propto [dipole element] \times [joint density of state] \times [occupation probability]$

$$R^{abs}_{\nu,j\to c,i}(h\upsilon) = \frac{\pi}{2\hbar} \left| \left\langle \Psi^i_c \middle| q \vec{E}_{em} \hat{r} \middle| \Psi^j_\nu \right\rangle \right|^2 \cdot \frac{\mu}{\pi\hbar^2} \cdot f^j_\nu (E_\nu) \cdot \left[1 - f^i_c (E_c) \right] \qquad [s^{-1} \cdot cm^{-2}]$$

for k=0 $Y_c^i(k_{//} = 0) = F_c^i(z)u_c(r)$

envelope function

on
$$\left|\left\langle Y_{c}^{i} \middle| q \vec{E}_{em} \hat{r} \middle| Y_{v}^{j} \right\rangle\right|^{2} \mu \left|\left\langle u_{c} \middle| \vec{E} \times \hat{r} \middle| u_{v} \right\rangle\right|^{2} \cdot \left|\left\langle F_{c}^{i} \middle| F_{v}^{j} \right\rangle\right|^{2}$$

Selection rule n° 2 Selection rule n° 1

Optical transitions in 2D

envelope function

$$\left\|\left\langle \mathsf{Y}_{c}^{i} \middle| q \vec{E}_{em} \hat{r} \middle| \mathsf{Y}_{v}^{j} \right\rangle\right\|^{2} + \left\|\left\langle u_{c} \middle| \vec{E} \times \hat{r} \middle| u_{v} \right\rangle\right\|^{2} + \left\|\left\langle F_{c}^{i} \middle| F_{v}^{j} \right\rangle\right\|^{2}$$
Selection rule n° 1

overlap integral of envelope functions

if i (or j) is <u>even</u>, the envelope function is <u>even</u>, if i (or j) is <u>odd</u>, the envelope function is <u>odd</u>

Hence, if (i+j) is odd
$$\implies \langle F_c^i | F_v^j \rangle = 0$$

Transition is forbidden

Selection rule n° 2: Polarization of emission



Electro-optical

Raman

Dimensionality

Quantum wells / 2D
$$\Psi_i(\vec{r}) = \phi_c(z)e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)}$$

1D
$$\Psi_i(\vec{r}) = \phi_c(y, z)e^{-ik_x x}$$



Quantum dots

$$\Psi_i(\vec{r}) = \phi_c(x, y, z)$$





InAs Quantum dots

Outline

Basics of SC physics

* SC band structure Density of states Doping

* Interaction with light

* Dimensionality

Optical characterization of SC structures

* Absorption* Photoemission

* Reflectivity

* Photoluminescence (PL)– PL Eexcitation (PLE)

* Time-Resolved PL – TR-Cathodoluminescence

Electric/Optical characterization of SC structures

* Electroluminescence (EL)* Photocurrent (PC)

Raman spectroscopy

Absorption

Ex:TMDC



Photoemission Spectroscopy (UPS & ARPES)

Photoemission

* based on the **photoelectric effect**:

Photons are absorbed and electrons are ejected above vacuum level $hv > E_F + \phi$

- * need for highly energetic photon source (typ. UV rays or He, Ar, Xe gas discharge lamp)
- * Only for surface characterization: short escape depth of the photoelectrons to the surface (<10nm)
- * Direct way to measure the electronic density of states near E_F (for SC: electrons in top VB)
- UPS : UV Photoemission Spectroscopy

ARPES: Angle resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy



Energy conservation \rightarrow measurement of electron energy in the VB band

Momentum conservation \rightarrow measurement of quasimomentum of the electron in the initial state given by the measurement of the photoelectron momentum

In-situ Charac. of thin film Growth 55 (2011) Nature Reviews 2, 54 (2022)

Electro-optical

Raman

Photoemission Spectroscopy (ARPES)

Band structure of TMDC







Tight-binding / k.p / DFT-GW calculations

PRB **79**, 115409 (2009), Nancy PRB **85**, 205302 (2012), Cleveland PRB **86**, 115409 (2012), Massachusets 2D Materials **2**, 22001 (2015), Konstanz,



Nature Nanotechnology 9, 111 (2013)

Reflectivity



Probes vertical transitions in k-space Probes the dielectric function

$$\varepsilon(\omega)^2 = \left(\varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)\right)^2 = \mathbf{n}(\omega) + i\kappa$$

Intensity ruled by selection rules and oscillator strength of the transition

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = 1 + \sum_{k} \frac{f_k}{\omega_{0,k}^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_k}$$

 ω : incident light angular frequency ω_0 : angular frequency of the oscillator f_k : oscillator strength of oscillator and electric field of light γ_k : damping factor

Requires a model (transfer matrix for instance) or a transformation (Kramers Kronig) to extract quantitative parameters (energy of the transition, oscillator strength, linewidth,...)

Requires the precise measurement of the optical index of the sample (measured by ellipsometry for ex)



Raman

Reflectivity measurement

Experimental setup



Angle-resolved reflectivity setup



Source: white lamp (Halogen, Xe,...)

Reflected beam:

spectrally resolved using spectrometer intensity recorded using CCD ou sCMOS camera

Reflectivity R =
$$\frac{I_{W/sample}}{I_{white lamp}}$$

Reflectivity measurement

Ex: ZnO epilayer



François Médard PhD thesis (2010)

Reflectivity measurement

Ex: ZnO epilayer



François Médard PhD thesis (2010)

Reynolds, PRB 60, 2340 (1999)







Selection rules:

A & B excitons mainly coupled to $E \perp c$ C excitons mainly coupled to $E \parallel c$
Reflectivity measurement

Ex: ZnO epilayer



François Médard PhD thesis (2010)

Reynolds, PRB 60, 2340 (1999)







Selection rules:

A & B excitons mainly coupled to $E \perp c$ C excitons mainly coupled to $E \parallel c$

Reflectivity

Ex: WSe₂ monolayer





Reflectivity



Amplitude and shape of reflectivity contrast depends on thicknesses of dielectric layers



Use of transfer matrix model needed to extract quantitative parameters (energy of the transition, oscillator strength, linewidth)

Luminescence





Photoluminescence: the emission of light after absorbing a photon of higher energy

Electroluminescence: the emission of light caused by running an electrical current through the material

... And others (Cathodo-, thermo-, chemi- etc)

 $\Phi(E) = |M|^2 \cdot g(E). \quad level occupancy factor$

Matrix of light-mater interaction

Luminescence

Radiative recombination :

At equilibrium (no excitation – thermal emission)

$$n^{0} = \int_{E_{g}}^{\infty} g_{c}(E) f_{e}(E) dE = N_{c} \exp\left(\frac{E_{F} - E_{c}}{kT}\right)$$
$$p^{0} = \int_{E_{g}}^{\infty} g_{v}(E) f_{h}(E) dE = N_{v} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{F} - E_{v}}{kT}\right)$$

$$E_F = E_c + kT \ln\left(\frac{n}{N_c}\right) \qquad n_i^2 = n^0 p^0$$

Out of equilibrium (under excitation) - excess carrier $\Delta n = \Delta p$

$$n = n^{0} + \Delta n = N_{c} \exp\left(\frac{E_{Fn} - E_{c}}{kT}\right)$$
$$p = p^{0} + \Delta p = N_{v} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{Fp} - E_{v}}{kT}\right)$$

Quasi-Fermi levels $\Delta \mu = qV = E_{Fn} - E_{Fn}$

F

Simplified expression for recombination (out of equilibrium)

$$R = Bnp = R^0 \exp\left(\frac{E_{Fn} - E_{Fp}}{kT}\right)$$

Bimolecular recombination

Photoluminescence (PL)

a powerful tool to understand electronic structure of semiconductors



(1) absorption

How efficient is absorption? Depend on which states is excited

(2) relaxation

Many possible interactions: excitons-phonons, excitons-excitons, exciton-electrons

(3) recombination

Depend on oscillator strength Depend on population of the given state (But not simply proportional to the density of states) Selection rules Radiative vs non radiative

Requires complementary experiments and tuning knobs

Photoluminescence (PL)

Experimental setup

- Advantages
 - *No sample preparation/no contacts/no transparent substrate
 - *Background free : very weak signals can be detected to the single-photon ultimate limit
 - *Fixed wavelength laser excitation is enough (Choose E_{laser}>E_g)
- Drawbacks
 - *Requires low temperature
 - *Probes intrinsic and extrinsic states
 - (linked to defects, energy levels in the bandgap...)
 - PL is not simply proportional to density of states PL energy gives information on lowest states only
 - *PL Intensity is affected if transitions are not radiative due to:
 - Recombination on traps or impurities
 - (vacancies, dislocations, joint grains...)
 - Surface recombination



Photoluminescence (PL)

Experimental setup

- *Choose E_{laser}>E_g or Tunable laser
- *Laser spot size = spatial resolution \sim 100µm
- *Spectral resolution given by grating and spectrometer



Supercontinuum laser



4K cryostat



Photoluminescence

Ex: ZnO epilayer



PL spectrum presents many lines !! Need for complementary experiments

Photoluminescence

Ex: ZnO epilayer

+ Reflectivity



Photoluminescence

Ex: ZnO epilayer



* Reflectivity reveals the free exciton lines

* PL spectrum is dominated by other lines even if oscillator strengths of free exciton transitions are strong

→ Excitonic complexes bound to donor states

Electro-optical

Raman

Photoluminescence

Ex: InGaN quantum wells

PL energy gives information on lowest states only PL widths gives information on inhomogeneity

tuning knob=temperature

Random alloy disorder in InGaN QW

Inhomogeneous broadening of QW PL peak Localisation: S-shape of E=f(T) Non radiative channels: low signal at 300 K



EFFL Thomas Weitherley PhD thesis

Varshni's law

 $E_g(T) = E_g(0) - \frac{\alpha T}{T + \beta}$

Photoluminescence

Ex: Quantum dots / Nanoparticules



Confinement in nanoparticules: effect on the optical properties



Reduction of size of NPs \rightarrow increase of the energy bandgap







Electro-optical

Raman

Photoluminescence

Ex: MoS₂



From multilayer to monolayer

Indirect to direct bandgap



A. Splendiani et al. Nano Lett. 10, 1271 (2010)

B. Mak et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 136805 (2010)

Photoluminescence

Ex: TMDC



The optical quality is strongly influenced by the environment

hBN encapsulated samples ightarrow harrow transitions ightarrow limited by homogeneous linewidth

PRX 7, 021026 (2017); 2D Mater. 4 031011 (2017) ; arXiv 1705.00348 (2017)

D. LAGARDE – MATEPI Summer school – June 2025 51

μ-Photoluminescence

Experimental setup

- Size of monolayer $\sim 10 \ \mu m$
- Low temperature required
- Wavelength-tunable LASER

- $\rightarrow\,$ Need for laser spot ~1 μm
- \rightarrow Precise sample positioning
- \rightarrow Optical cryostat with no vibration & ajustable temperature 4 K 300 K



Electro-optical

Raman

Photoluminescence excitation (PLE)

Ex: TMDC



*Probes the density of states but !! Sensitive to relaxation mechanisms

- \rightarrow different from absorption measurements
- *Need for wavelength tunable laser source

Electro-optical

Raman

Time-resolved spectroscopy



O > Coherent Regime (< 1 ps)</p>

- momentum scattering
- carrier-carrier scattering
- carrier-optical phonons

Non-Thermal Regime (few ps)

- e-h scattering
- intersubband scattering
- capture

Hot-Thermal Regime (< 100 ps)</p>

- phonon scattering (acoustic, optical)

Equilibrium with lattice (ns)

- recombination (radiative and non-radiative)



Pump-probe
TR-Photolum.

Time-resolved PL

*Information on the dynamics of the carrier population

- *Information on relaxation times
- *Information on radiative or non-radiative recombination channels

 $\tau_{non-rad}$

 τ_{rad}

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -An - Bn^2 - Cn^3$$

Hypothesis: n=p

A: recombination coefficient on defects

B: radiative recombination coefficient

C: non-radiative recombination coefficient, type Auger



radiative band-to-band





Auger

Time-resolved PL

□ With fast-detection electronics (ns and slower)

increased time resolution

□ With intensified CCD (ICCD) cameras (>=500 ps)

□ With Avalanche Photodiode (SPAD, APD) or Time Correlated Single Photon Counting TCSPC (ps and slower)

□ With a Streak camera (<ps and slower)

□ With optical gating (fs and slower)

Time-resolved PL

With time-correlated single photon counting system (TCSPC)



Photons can be detected by photodetectors with intrinsically high gain such as photomultipliers / micro-channel plate / single photon avalanche photodiodes (SPAD)

TCSPC can be used to measure lifetimes ranging from <50 ps to over 50 ms



Time-resolved PL

With superconducting nanowire single photon detector (SNSPD)



Can detect single photons with high efficiency over a broad spectral range (even NIR)
High timing resolution of less than 50 ps

Time-resolved PL



Time-resolved PL

With streak camera

- ✓ Time resolution (few ps)
 - Rep rate up to 80MHz



Basics on SC

Raman

Time-resolved PL

With streak camera

« tridimensionnal » images = time + intensity + spectrum



Intensity by color coding



6375

1.275E4

1.913E4

2.550E4

Raman

Time-resolved PL

With streak camera

t (ps)





Spectral selection



Basics on SC

Raman

Time-resolved PL

With streak camera

« tridimensionnal » images = time + intensity + spectrum





Diffusion

• ...

Time-resolved PL

Ex: Quantum wells

Internal or external electric field on QW:











*Plays with the electron and hole wavefunction overlap *Increase of QW decay times with E

Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2610 (1985)

10

Phys. Rev. B 59, 15363 (1999)

Time-resolved PL

Ex: TMDC



Exciton radiative lifetime ~1.8 ps

Exciton Bohr radius ~0.5 nm

Trion decay ~15 ps

60

Time-resolved PL

Ex: TMDC heterostructure



Nat. Comm. 6, 6242 (2015)

 X_{Mo}^0

1.7

Time-resolved PL





Tuning of indirect exciton lifetime with electric field From ~250ns to 600 ns for $E_{hs} > 0.1$ V/nm



67

Basics on SC

Raman

Time-resolved Cathodoluminescence







→ Diffusion of Indium creates QW/QD areas

Basics on SC

Raman

Time-resolved Cathodoluminescence

Ex: InGaN quantum wells/quantum dots



Comparable decays measured by both TR techniques

Outline

Basics of SC physics

* SC band structure Density of states Doping

* Interaction with light

* Dimensionality

Optical characterization of SC structures

* Absorption* Photoemission

* Reflectivity

* Photoluminescence (PL)– PL Eexcitation (PLE)

* Time-Resolved PL

- TR-Cathodoluminescence

Electric/Optical characterization of SC structures

* Electroluminescence (EL)* Photocurrent (PC)

Raman spectroscopy

Electro-optical

Raman

Electro-optical measurements



Electroluminescence

*No need for optical excitation *Need for conductive samples/electric contacts





Towards optical devices: LED, laser diode,...
Electro-optical

а

Raman

Electroluminescence

*No need for optical excitation *Need for conductive sample/contacts



Sample







b

Nature (2016)

Electro-optical

Raman

Photocurrent spectroscopy

*No need for optical detector *Need for conductive samples/contacts





Comparison of PL and PC with calculated transitions



Ex: Semiconductor Optical Amplifier

Even forbidden transitions can be observed due to symmetry breaking by internal electric field

Outline

Basics of SC physics

* SC band structure Density of states Doping

* Interaction with light

* Dimensionality

Optical characterization of SC structures

* Absorption

* Photoemission

* Reflectivity

* Photoluminescence (PL)– PL Eexcitation (PLE)

* Time-Resolved PL – TR-Cathodoluminescence

Electric/Optical characterization of SC structures

* Electroluminescence (EL)* Photocurrent (PC)

Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy

Raman effect:

Ineslastic scattering of light under emission or absorption of phonons



See Anna Fontcuberta i Morral presentation – PULSE Summer school 2015 Introductory Raman spectroscopy 2003

Electro-optical

Raman

Raman spectroscopy

Experimental setup





Difficulties:

*Weak Raman signal

*Raman signal needs to be filtered out of laser light

Laser: narrow line (atomic/cavity filtered) Notch Filter/Spectrometer with high rejection rates



Unit: cm-1

Raman spectroscopy

On 2D materials

On graphene





Ferrari et al., Nature Nano 8, 235 (2013)





Appl. Phys. Lett. 99, 102109 (2011)

Conclusions

Review of some common techniques from the « optical spectroscopy toolbox »: Absorption, Reflectivity, Transmission, Photoluminescence

Identify intrinsic and extrinsic optical properties of a SC structure playing with tuning knobs

- Temperature
- Laser energy (=PLE)
- Laser power
- Time (=time-resolved photoluminescence)

- Light polarization (excitation and detection)
- Magnetic field (in-plane, out-of-plane)
- Dielectric environment
- Strain
- Doping (resident electrons or hole densities) Direction of propagation of light
- Electric field

How to exploit them for optical applications \rightarrow see Fauzia Jabeen presentation on Friday

Thank you !